

**Placer County Tahoe Basin Area Plan and
Tahoe City Lodge Project
EIR/EIS Scoping Meeting
TRPA Regional Plan Implementation Committee
June 24, 2015, TRPA's Offices, Stateline, NV**

#	Commenter	Summary of Comments	Comment Applies to:	
			Area Plan	Lodge Project
1	Shannon Eckmeyer, League to Save Lake Tahoe	Won't repeat this morning's comments. Will submit written comments on additions to the Area Plan. Needs to be more incorporation of what is happening with the Fanny Bridge permitting and approvals. It's understood that TRPA and TTD will be working with the County to determine what the area will look like. Should there be policy language added to the Area Plan, or will there be future amendments?	X	
2	Ellie Waller, Tahoe Vista resident	Wants environmental document to include actual citing of where anything will be tiered and provide page #s from RTP, TMDL, and Regional Plan documentation to prove analysis was completed.	X	
3	Jennifer Quashnick, Friends of the West Shore	Not repeat what was said earlier. Supports comments by Ellie and Shannon. Emphasize the issue of water supply. We are in a severe drought, climate change impacts, and new developments bringing in thousands of units in the North Tahoe regional area. When it gets warmer in the valley, more 2 nd homeowners may move up here full time. What is the water demand if 2 nd homeowners were full-time occupants? What amount of water do we have left in the Basin considering the impacts of drought? And we know we have already drawn down our groundwater wells and not much new development has been constructed yet. Environmental document needs to look at this.	X	
4	Bill Yates, RPIC Member	Referenced Transportation, Recreation, and Public Services and Facilities slide. The issue on transportation is really critical because of what was just done with Fanny Bridge. One of the criticisms received during the Fanny Bridge approvals was that we weren't addressing the rest of Tahoe City, which is the pedestrian crossings, etc. It's my understanding that the County is doing a mobility study to look at those issues. Hopes that this will be incorporated into the environmental analysis. That would provide the link between what is being done on SR 89 with what would happen at SR 28 and with the area plan. It would support making the river district more pedestrian and bicycle friendly consistent with our transportation policies.	X	

#	Commenter	Summary of Comments	Comment Applies to:	
			Area Plan	Lodge Project
		Not sure if this can this be done or not. Can Caltrans, County, and State corporation yards be consolidated? We have an agreement signed between TTD and Caltrans to look at removal. If that could be incorporated into this Area Plan, that would be nice. Would be nice for the river and would be time-saving and cost-saving aspect.		
5	Larry Severson, RPIC Member	We are all working toward that end. May not be possible to get all the pieces together quickly. However, there is a commitment for this to happen and willingness by the PUD to make it happen. It would be on PUD property.	X	
6	Jim Lawrence, RPIC Member	Hoping topics raised by public will be addressed – they were spot on. Since this is such a large Area Plan and includes changes from the Regional Plan, he emphasized that it will be critical to identify where we are tiering from the Regional Plan. If there isn't separate environmental analysis, need to document why we don't need to in the rationale.	X	